

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/084,789	Applicant(s) ENDO, MASAKI	
	Examiner Tuan T. Lam	Art Unit 2816	

All Participants:

(1) Tuan T. Lam.

(2) William S. Frommer (Reg. No. 25,506).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 November 2003 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 5, 6

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 2, 5 and 6 in order to comply with the 35USC 112, second paragraph and to place the application in a condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 TUAN T. LAM
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)